UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/697,956	10/30/2003	Thomas S. Mason	D-1173 R	7798
<sup>28995</sup> RALPH E. JOC	7590 03/30/201 CKE	0	EXAM	IINER
Walker & Jocke 231 SOUTH BROADWAY			KIM, TAE W	
MEDINA, OH	=		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2887	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/30/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/697,956	MASON ET AL.
Examiner initiated interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit
	TAE KIM	2887
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>TAE KIM</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Ralph Jocke (31,029)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 18 March 2010	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: 14, 18, 31		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand</li> </ul>	ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/DANIEL WALSH/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2887	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner stated that the applicant's amendment dated 12/22/09 successfully overcame the restriction requirement. Examiner notes that Attorney Wasil did not raise any objections to the election restriction requirement when the restriction was originally proposed by the examiner via a phone call on 11/12/09. In addition, examiner indicated that the claim 14, 18, and 31 in the latest claim set each comprises an allowable subject matter.